

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/631,889 | 08/01/2003 | | Masashi Naito | 116734 | 9811 | |
| 25944 | 7590 | 09/01/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| OLIFF & F | ERRIDO | GE, PLC | LE, DINH THANH | | | |
| P.O. BOX 1 | 9928 | | | | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2816 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/631,889 | NAITO, MASASHI | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | DINH T. LE | 2816 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply | tion appears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address | •• | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n | | | | | | |
| | ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction | vithdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | · | • • • | • • | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152 | 2. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the ce | cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | : | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | "П., . | 0 (DTO 445) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- | 948) Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/1/03. | | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/631,889

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, the recitation "the limit" on line 6 lacks antecedent basis. It is unclear what "any limit" on line 5 is, how it can be prescribed for the amplitude of the subject signal, and how the recitation "differential signal generating means" and "amplitude limiting means" is read on the preferred embodiment. Insofar as understood, no such means is seen on the drawings. The same is true for claims 6 and 7.

In claim 2, it is unclear what the "prescribed content" on line 3 is and the recitation "the filtered differential signal" on line 5 lacks clear antecedent basis. The same is true for reciting "the amplitude limitation" on line 7 of claim 3.

In claim 3, it is not understood how the means can be "carried out" on line 5 by digital processing and what the "digital processing", "symbols" and "subcarrier components" are since they are clearly defined.

In claim 4, the recitation "amplitude limitation" on line 8 is confusing because it is unclear if this is an additional" limitation" or further recitation of the previously claimed "limitation" in claim 3. The same is true for reciting "one or more combinations of a plurality of bands" on line 21 of claims 6-7.

In claim 6, it is unclear what the "combinations of a plurality of bands" are, how the bands can be taken out, what the "other filtering means" on line 7 is and how it is read on the preferred embodiment or seen on the drawings. The same is true for claims 7-9.

In claim 10, the recitation "the power" on line 6 lacks antecedent basis and it is unclear what the power is and how the signals can have the power.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The claims are allowable because the prior art does not show the combination of the differential signal generating means and the amplitude limiting means as recited in claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY CALLAHAN can be reached at (571) 272-1740.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DINH LE

Primary Examiner

August 27, 2004